



## The Challenges and Limitations of Artificial Intelligence Adoption in Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises

Muzamil Mohib<sup>1</sup>, Fareed Kaleem Khan<sup>2</sup>, Engr. Radwa El Burari<sup>3</sup>, Shoket Ali<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, School of Business

E-mail: [muzamilmohib@outlook.com](mailto:muzamilmohib@outlook.com)

<sup>2</sup>Digital Finance Expert, E-mail: [fareedkaleemkhan@gmail.com](mailto:fareedkaleemkhan@gmail.com)

<sup>3</sup>Computer science and Engineering, Hubei University of Technology, [radwa.radwita7@gmail.com](mailto:radwa.radwita7@gmail.com)

<sup>4</sup>Ph.D. Scholar, Institute of International Relations, Shah Abdul Latif University, Khairpur, Pakistan

E-mail: [shaukatalimalik@gmail.com](mailto:shaukatalimalik@gmail.com)

DOI: <https://10.71145/rjsp.v3i1.99>

### Abstract

The study investigates the challenges and limitations of Artificial Intelligence (AI) adoption among small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) and its impact on operational efficiency. Global economies mostly rely on SMEs. However, these enterprises face multiple adoption obstacles due to monetary restrictions, lack of technological skills, data requirements, human skepticism, and restricted AI solutions. Research data from 150 representative SMEs operating in various fields demonstrates which constraints shape their AI adoption assessments. Analysis of SMEs shows their moderate mutual agreement about financial and data-related hurdles creates no statistically relevant impact on their observed operational advantages. Research indicates that Small and Medium Enterprises solve obstacles by utilizing cost-effective Artificial Intelligence technologies and cooperation from external parties. The study highlights essential requirements for personalized intervention methods, including state incentives, worker training initiatives, and straightforward AI systems for proper SME service. The research finds that SME organizations succeed at AI implementation. However, future research needs to address industry-based AI barriers, leadership patterns, and collaborative measures to create broad AI adoption strategies. The research leads to practical steps that policymakers, AI solution providers, and SME leaders can implement to integrate AI, resulting in better innovation and competitiveness for SMEs.

**Keywords:** Artificial Intelligence (AI), Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises (SMEs), AI Adoption Challenges, Operational Efficiency, Financial Constraints, Technical Expertise,

### Introduction

Business Process Automation, specifically Artificial intelligence (AI), has transformed how businesses exist with the potential to add value to companies in several industries. This is because it helps organizations improve their administrative processes and decisions and ensure that consumers receive their desired experience (Badghish and Soomro, 2024). A focus on AI applications and services such as predictions, language recognition, and computer automatization proves its relevance in the context of a competitive market environment (Brynjolfsson and McAfee, 2017). Though big firms lead in the AI implementation process,

the focus on small and medium firms is on the rise as a means of adaptation to new changes and the ability to sustain themselves (Canton, 2021). While large firms may face unique obstacles when implementing AI solutions, SMEs will likely face special difficulties. As one major constraint, few organizations set aside adequate cash to spend on expensive AI systems and tools (Arroyabe *et al.*, 2024). Training and implementing these AI systems require more capital than SMEs can afford since they have tighter capital control (Aljarboa, 2024). Moreover, the problems of AI adoption and integration intensify due to the lack of qualified employees inside SMEs because competent solutions with the help of AI demand data science and machine learning, as well as software engineering knowledge (Abaddi, 2024).

Another important driver is a scarcity of good data required to train the artificial intelligence systems. Such elaborated data storage and management systems are usually beyond the capabilities of small companies and other SMEs (Agrawal *et al.*, 2024). Also, the legal requirements regarding users' privacy, such as the GDPR, set demanding conditions that prevent SMEs' data access as compliance is highly difficult and expensive (Ahmed, 2024). The lack of cogent data policies in SMEs further constrains them from enhancing the use of AI (Schwaeke *et al.*, 2024). Another reason organizational AI adoption in SMEs is preferably hampered by cultural resistance. Some of the decision-makers in small firms might consider AI complicated or irrelevant to their organizations' business strategies (Almashawreh *et al.*, 2024). Such resistance is due to a fear of decline in technological innovation, loss of control of affairs, and some organizations' lack of definite proof of the ROI in integrating artificial intelligence (Bettoni *et al.*, 2021). Furthermore, the inability of leaders of those SMEs to understand and identify the potential of applying or embedding AI solutions in their businesses may lead to nugatory the potentiality of these technologies (Shahadat *et al.*, 2023).

From an ecosystem view, identified difficulties include the lack of appropriate, affordable solutions that can meet the specialized needs of SMEs. Most modern AI solutions are created emphasizing their ability to function in large-scale organizations, which makes SMEs under addressed (Hussain and Rizwan, 2024). Governments and policymakers need to engage with the solution-offering AI companies to reduce this gap and build frameworks and affordable solutions (Polisetty *et al.*, 2024). Also, government policies and public-private-sector partnerships may help SMEs adopt artificial intelligence (Sharma and Gupta, 2009).

This paper seeks to establish each of the above and more into the complexity of their challenges and implications for SMEs' adoption of AI. The sophistication of these challenges is vital to enhance and encourage technology development. Government officials, AI solution vendors, and SME management must understand potential realistic approaches to make AI more easily accessible and effective. If these challenges are to be overcome, SMEs' benefits from adopting AI will be duly realized to spur more innovation that propels economic development worldwide (World Economic Forum, 2020).

### **Research Objectives**

1. To find out the financial, technical, and organizational hurdles that SMEs experience during AI implementation.
2. To understand the effect of these challenges on operation efficiency and prospects of SMEs.
3. To address the gaps and provide the executive summary and, more importantly, advisable and feasible policies aimed at facilitating the implementation of AI by SMEs.

### **Research Questions**

1. How do the main challenges and limitations affect SMEs' adoption of artificial intelligence?
2. How do these challenges impact the operational performance and competitive advantage of SMEs?
3. How can SMEs ensure that they adopt the right strategies, tools and policies to enhance the proper adoption of AI, given that most organizations lack the capacity to establish IT departments for strategic direction?

## **Literature Review**

The application of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) is an emerging research topic for both universities and industries as the integration of AI promises to revolutionize productivity, increase innovation and improve competitiveness within a business setting (Brynjolfsson and McAfee, 2017; Sander and Keller, 2021). Nevertheless, the adoption process becomes problematic due to financial, technical, cultural, and regulatory issues that are not similar to large organizations, making the adoption process a daunting task for the organization (Lada *et al.*, 2023). Considering key thematic areas raised in the literature concerning the adoption of AI in SMEs, this review identifies key challenges and synthesizes relevant literature to imply future research directions.

## **Financial Constraints**

Not surprisingly, the major concern of SMEs when it comes to AI implementation is cost. Many aspects of AI incorporate expensive prerequisites in software licenses, specialized equipment, or highly skilled labor (Thaichon and Quach, 2023). SMEs normally work under small budgets, and thus, efforts to implement these high-cost technologies become difficult (Chatzoglou and Chatzoudes, 2016). Manyika *et al.* (2017) noted that while large enterprises can risk losing some funds to attempt AI and absorb the losses, SMEs cannot afford to do so. Furthermore, the cost of maintaining these technologies and upgrading and scaling them to suit the business adds cost issues, which prevents SMEs from incorporating AI into their business (Chaudhuri *et al.*, 2022). Such financial barriers support the necessity of affordable AI solutions that will be designed and developed for SMEs (Aish and Noor, 2025).

## **Limited Technical Expertise**

AI technologies need to be deployed, and they need to be deployed by people who have deep knowledge of data science, machine learning, software engineering and other related fields (Ghobakhloo and Ching, 2019). One of the major challenges associated with using these technologies is that SMEs do not have the requisite internal resources they need to sustainably support and implement these technologies (Ingalagi, Mutkekar and Kulkarni, 2021). Huang and Rust (2021) concluded that attracting and retaining talent becomes difficult for SMEs since they cannot afford to spend much money on professional services and operate in a smaller size than larger companies. Moreover, the selection of training programs also does not consider the SMEs' context, and many employees are incapable of comprehending or applying the AI tools (Rawashdeh, Bakhit and Abaalkhail, 2023). This relative lack of technical content suggests serious gaps in training and skills and a need for increased collaboration between SMEs and higher education institutions (OECD, 2020).

## **Data-Related Challenges**

Despite technological advances in AI, SMEs struggle with obtaining, organizing, and maintaining good, high-quality data upon which AI technologies rely (Khan, Mehmood and Soomro, 2024). As is well known, unlike large companies with advanced data architecture, most SMEs do not possess adequate financial and human capital to set up respective production-standard information management systems (Salah and Alzghoul, 2024). Such requirements are invented in certain states, like privacy regulations, including the GDPR, which worsens things, for SMEs still do not know how to act within these frameworks (Rojas-Berrio *et al.*, 2022). According to the findings of Schneider *et al.* (2020), data accessibility and quality play major roles in the requirements for teaching AI algorithms, and the absence of these requirements, with the help of a limited potential of AI's implementation.

### **Cultural Resistance**

Cultural issues remain the other impediments to AI implementation in organizations, mainly small-scale organizations with conventional business transitions. The top leadership in SMEs tends to regard AI as a revolutionary tool likely to cause layoffs of employees or pipeline control (Baabdullah *et al.*, 2021). Furthermore, they continue to doubt the return on investment (ROI) from AI technologies, which hampers adoption even more (Vrontis, Chaudhuri and Chatterjee, 2022). As per Dwivedi *et al.* (2021), various awareness programs and case studies should be conducted to show SME owners the actual profits of using AI.

### **Accessibility of AI Solutions**

Several AI solutions currently available in the market are focused on enterprise-level organizations; thus, they are not ideal for SMEs (Iyelolu *et al.*, 2024). Such solutions are typically very unique. Thus, the cost and implementation of those solutions are equally unique (Hardinata, Utami and Sumaji, 2024). Bharadwaj and coworkers (2020) also underlined the importance of developing a set of AI tools that will be both efficient and cheap and tailored to the needs of SMEs. The lack of such resources can be filled with private and public partnerships and government stimuli for developing the artificial intelligence-centric environment (European Commission, 2022).

### **Policy and Regulatory Barriers**

The external factors also present a major problem for SMEs using artificial intelligence technologies. Laws that protect data, numerous regulations that govern the use of intellectual property and ethical principles are usually hard to follow and time-consuming (Wei and Pardo, 2022). Of the many pressures outlined by the World Economic Forum (2020), the following are among the ones governments can help reduce by simplifying the rules and providing funding for AI implementation in SMEs. Policymakers ought to make policies especially concerning algorithmic fairness and responsibility, which are closely linked to industries like healthcare and finance (Dobre, 2022).

### **Impact on SME Performance**

Nonetheless, similar to the challenges discussed above, AI has been proven to revolutionize the performance of SMEs. Nguyen *et al.* (2021) also noted that AI could improve the organization's work productivity, facilitate better decision-making, and create new distinctive products and services. Still, the effectiveness of these benefits depends on the degree to which the mentioned barriers are solved (Omri *et al.*, 2022). According to Schneider *et al.* (2020), SMEs stand to significantly benefit from AI implementation in their bid to reduce competition from large firms, especially within evolving markets.

### **Proposed Solutions and Future Directions**

Due to the challenges of AI implementation in SMEs, researchers and practitioners have suggested the following solutions. AI policies and strategies should be an endeavor that poses collective responsibilities to government, academic bodies and private entities to foster and enhance the environment that will support the assiduous adoption and implementation of Artificial Intelligence technology (OECD, 2020). For instance, in a setup in order to adopt AI technologies at the workplace, training forums such as programs and workshops could educate SME employees on how best to manage ICTs (Karuppiah *et al.*, 2023). Furthermore, the availability of more affordable and easy-to-use AI-oriented solutions can be a way for SMEs to catch up with large-scale enterprises (Kurup and Gupta, 2022). The government can also come into the picture to open up the issue and facilitate the packaging of capital through tax

breaks and legal provisions granting or favoring grants and subsidies to SMEs on condition that they adopt AI in their businesses (Chatterjee *et al.*, 2022).

### Research Gaps

Using the current literature, several gaps emerge regarding understanding the difficulties of AI adoption in SMEs. For example, little is known about how AI affects the overall performance of SMEs in the long run and for various industries (Karuppiah *et al.*, 2023). Furthermore, the literature mainly discusses barriers in developed nations, and the circumstances of SMEs in developing societies remain relatively uninvestigated (Abrokwah-Larbi and Awuku-Larbi, 2024). There is a need for future research to fill these gaps through cross-sectional and longitudinal research in order to increase the knowledge on the topic.

### Research Model

Through independent variable assessment, the analysis examines important barriers affecting small to medium enterprises (SMEs) when adopting artificial intelligence (AI) technologies. SMEs encounter three main barriers to AI adoption: financial resource struggles (Q1), describing funding issues for AI investment and high AI costs (Q2), the economic challenges of implementing AI solutions, and lack of skilled personnel (Q3), the scarcity of workers capable of implementing and managing AI systems. The research examines technical constraints through data collection challenges (Q4) and enforcement rules about privacy and data protection (Q5). Cultural resistance measures internal resistance to AI acceptance (Q6) while lacking specific AI solutions (Q7), and measuring return on investment (Q8) reveals market and strategic AI adoption barriers. Commentary on operational efficiency improvement (Q9) analyzes self-reported AI benefits and assesses how SMEs evaluate the impact of AI on both productivity and organizational decisions. These variables create a foundation for assessing obstacles to AI adoption along with their effects on operational advantages reported by organizations.



**Figure 1: Research Model**

This research model shows how independent variables relating to AI adoption challenges and limits influence perceived operational efficiency improvements. The separate factors that create financial challenges, along with the expense values of AI and skilled workforce shortages, direct our understanding of operational efficiency development in small and medium enterprises.

### **Research Methodology**

Using a survey as the primary instrument, this research undertook a quantitative approach to investigate the viability of AI adoption and the SMEs' strengths and perceived limitations. A structured research design was used to ensure an effective and efficient collection of data that would give insight into the situation of SMEs in differently positioned industries. The main research question was to reveal major trends and dependencies that would help understand what hampers the implementation of artificial intelligence and what can be done to facilitate it, both to those involved in the practical application of AI and those who make the policies make a Collection.

The data for this research was collected using a structured survey administered to a sample of 150 SMEs from different sectors such as manufacturing, retailing, healthcare and technology. The survey instrument was designed following the literature review on adopting AI and its challenges, specifically in SMEs. It was designed to capture detailed information in three primary areas: obstacles faced while implementing AI technology, advantages received after using AI technology, and disadvantages connected with generalizing AI technologies. Recommended questions were closed-ended questions using a five-point Likert scale, and there were open-ended questions so that participants could provide qualitative responses.

The survey was conducted online through a linked website and email to participants to enhance the overall accessibility. Follow-up messages could be sent to improve the response rate, and the survey was conducted for six weeks. From the 200 distributed questionnaires, 150 marked responses were obtained, thus a response rate of 75%. The target respondents were the owners of businesses, information technology managers, and decision-makers in organizations involved in AI decision-making. That way, the collected data incorporated informed views on adopting and implementing AI technologies within SMEs.

### **Sampling and Participants**

Purposive sampling has been done to identify SMEs that implemented or were planning to implement AI technologies in their business. The inclusion criteria included: The organization should employ less than 250 people, be active in one of the specified industries, and commit to deploying AI for business activities. Thus, the approach focused on the SMEs' key issues and ensured the relevance of the collected data. Many Companies from various fields participated in the research to give insights into AI applications in different business environments.

### **Data Analysis**

The survey results were analyzed using a mix of descriptive and inferential statistics on the results collected from the two states. Demographic data were collected, and frequencies, percentages, means, and standard deviations were used to give an initial idea of some of the difficulties expressed, perceived advantages, and constraints of the SMEs. After the analysis, finding common trends and other patterns in the large amount of data was easier.

Descriptive and inferential statistical procedures were used to examine the patterns and distinctions of the responses. Categorical data were analyzed using a chi-square test, for example, to compare the different industry types and their perceptions of barriers to the adoption of AI. Further, independent samples t-tests and between-group ANOVA were used to examine the significance of organizational size, industry type, or AI adoption phase on the response. Regression tests were also conducted on the validity of perceived benefits and challenges in determining the cost-benefit of using AI technologies.

The quantitative data obtained from the survey was, therefore, complemented by qualitative data that involved analyzing the responses about established themes. Responses were categorized with caution and adjustment so that the categorical options were categorized into some of the following themes: cultural issues, return on investment (ROI) clarity, and availability of modified AI solutions for SMEs. These were important formative insights comprising quantitative data regarding the contextual factors linked to AI.

### **Ethical Considerations**

The research process included substantial attention to ethical matters. Research participants received a clear understanding of study goals, followed by obtaining consent to advance before the survey. All participants received confirmation that their responses would stay completely confidential and could remain anonymous throughout the study for privacy protection. The research data collection procedure followed ethical standards, which protected the research's reliability while treating all participants with dignity and equity.

### **Limitations**

The survey methodology succeeded in revealing important limitations and challenges related to AI adoption across SMEs but experienced specific constraints during this investigation. Self-reported data collection contains potential responder bias, which causes participants to both exaggerate and minimize their personal experiences. The research generalizability remains constrained due to purposive sampling methods, which focus on designated industries and specific geographical boundaries. The current research requirements explain the necessity to confirm results through additional independent studies and expanded study environments.

### **Analysis and Results**

**Table 1: Descriptive Analysis**

<b>Variable</b>	<b>Count</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>Std</b>	<b>Min</b>	<b>Max</b>
<b>Number of Employees</b>	150	139.77	66.26	11	247
<b>Q1: Financial resource struggle</b>	150	3.17	1.39	1	5
<b>Q2: High AI cost</b>	150	2.99	1.38	1	5
<b>Q3: Lack of skilled personnel</b>	150	3.04	1.29	1	5
<b>Q4: Data collection difficulties</b>	150	3.01	1.49	1	5
<b>Q5: Privacy and data protection regulations</b>	150	2.95	1.3	1	5
<b>Q6: Cultural resistance</b>	150	3.09	1.45	1	5
<b>Q7: Lack of tailored AI solutions</b>	150	2.91	1.36	1	5
<b>Q8: Difficulty assessing ROI</b>	150	3.09	1.37	1	5
<b>Q9: Operational efficiency improvement</b>	150	3.09	1.32	1	5
<b>Q10: Enhanced decision-making</b>	150	3.17	1.37	1	5
<b>Q11: Personalized customer experiences</b>	150	2.89	1.4	1	5
<b>Q12: Competitive advantage</b>	150	3.17	1.43	1	5
<b>Q13: Cost reduction</b>	150	2.94	1.41	1	5
<b>Q14: Innovation facilitation</b>	150	2.95	1.43	1	5
<b>Q15: Lack of scalability</b>	150	2.96	1.5	1	5
<b>Q16: Customization challenges</b>	150	3.18	1.47	1	5
<b>Q17: High maintenance costs</b>	150	2.87	1.38	1	5
<b>Q18: Integration challenges</b>	150	3.06	1.4	1	5
<b>Q19: Lack of external support</b>	150	3.07	1.45	1	5
<b>Q20: Lack of partnerships</b>	150	3	1.38	1	5

The initial overview through descriptive analysis reveals a thorough breakdown of survey results showing response trends among important survey variables. A wide range of employer numbers exists in SMEs, with employee counts varying from eleven to two hundred forty-seven, yet average employment stands at 139.77 technicians, and their data variation follows a standard deviation of 66.26. According to respondents who moderately agree, per Q1 results, financial resource struggles stand as a medium challenge, with a mean score of 3.17, while responses exhibit a standard deviation of 1.39. The assessment of high AI costs (Q2) and data collection difficulties (Q4) aligned with similar levels of agreement based on their mean scores of 2.99 and 3.01, respectively. The standard deviations (1.38 and 1.49) show significant variations among SME responses to these questions. These study outcomes demonstrate substantial variation in how SMEs experience financial restrictions and data collection challenges, even though these limitations affect most businesses. Shifting business dynamics requires specialized solutions that must respond to the individual involvement of each SME.

**Table 2: Regression Analysis**

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	P-value	95% CI Lower	95% CI Upper
<b>const</b>	3.1088	0.7116	4.3689	0	1.7021	4.5156
<b>Q1: Financial resource struggle</b>	0.0393	0.0812	0.4836	0.6294	-0.1212	0.1997
<b>Q2: High AI cost</b>	-0.0132	0.0805	-0.164	0.87	-0.1723	0.1459
<b>Q3: Lack of skilled personnel</b>	-0.0615	0.0868	-0.7079	0.4802	-0.2332	0.1102
<b>Q4: Data collection difficulties</b>	0.0255	0.0746	0.3417	0.7331	-0.1219	0.1729
<b>Q5: Privacy and data protection regulations</b>	-0.0182	0.0859	-0.2124	0.8321	-0.188	0.1515
<b>Q6: Cultural resistance</b>	0.0827	0.0789	1.0484	0.2962	-0.0733	0.2387
<b>Q7: Lack of tailored AI solutions</b>	0.0436	0.0814	0.5358	0.5929	-0.1174	0.2047
<b>Q8: Difficulty assessing ROI</b>	-0.1055	0.0849	-1.243	0.2159	-0.2733	0.0623

The regression analysis investigated the connection between difficulties in AI implementation and small business perceptions regarding operational effectiveness improvement. The base perception of operational efficiency improvement before any external challenges reach 3.1088, which is a statistically meaningful value at  $p < 0.001$ . Research reflects weak relationships between the independent variables focusing on financial resource struggles, AI costs, data collection difficulties and the dependent variable ( $p > 0.05$ ). For instance, the coefficient for "Q1: Analysis reveals no meaningful relationship between financial constraints and operational efficiency growth since the experimental coefficient is 0.0393 with a 0.6294 p-value. The lack of significant coefficients for challenges such as "Q3: The statistical results for "Lack of skilled personnel" ( $p = 0.4802$ ) along with "Q2: High AI cost" ( $p = 0.8700$ ) demonstrate that these factors do not cause significant changes in operational benefit perceptions among SMEs examined in this dataset.

The surveyed SMEs demonstrate the ability to see operational improvements through AI adoption despite facing these existing challenges. The size of confidence intervals reveals that these predictor variables have values within zero, which strengthens their finding of insignificant influence. These research findings demonstrate that SMEs encounter different barriers to implementing AI, yet these obstacles fail to constrain their recognition of operational improvements. This discovery either shows the strong ability of SMEs to adapt or demonstrates the need for detailed performance metrics. The research benefits from additional investigations that examine specific industrial effects across larger data sets to establish comprehensive outcomes.



**Table 3: ANOVA**

Source	SS (Sum of Squares)	DF (Degrees of Freedom)	MS (Mean Square)	F-value	P-value
<b>Regression</b>	8.1698	8	1.0212	0.5767	0.7958
<b>Residual</b>	249.7035	141	1.7709		
<b>Total</b>	257.8733	149			

A detailed breakdown of variables appears in the ANOVA table, distinguishing Regression from Residual and Total components. The independent variables bringing value from AI adoption challenges yield 8.1698 in the sum of squares and 1.0212 in the mean square over 8 degrees of freedom. Committed to unexplained variability and error, we call "Residual," which contains a sum of squares of 249.7035 distributed over 141 degrees of freedom, resulting in a mean square of 1.7709. The Total row reveals an SS value of 257.8733 and a DF 149, which measures the total variable variability. This regression study finds an F-value of 0.5767 and a p-value of 0.7958, thus demonstrating that the model is not significant at standard cutoffs of  $p < 0.05$ . The combined effects of all independent variables (challenges) fail to explain significant improvements in operational efficiency among SMEs, as observed in this data sample.

### Discussion

This study examines obstacles that impede the adoption of artificial intelligence (AI) by small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) and how such barriers affect their perceived operational efficiency improvements. Global economies depend fundamentally on SMEs, so their utilization of AI technology is decisive in both competitive business advantages and operational output effectiveness. The findings of this research demonstrate complex connections between operational results and multiple barriers that influence AI potential. However, they guide policymakers, practitioners, and scientific researchers.

Data analysis based on regression and ANOVA testing shows that barriers, including financial resource struggles, high AI costs, the absence of skilled personnel, and difficulties with data collection, failed to generate statistical evidence of reducing operational efficiency improvements. Subsequent regression results demonstrated insignificant small coefficients for financial resource struggles and the absence of qualified staff predictors, which maintained p-values exceeding 0.05. The ANOVA evaluation demonstrates the regression model's low capacity to explain observed variability since it generated both a low F-value (0.5767) and a high p-value (0.7958). SMEs encounter challenges frequently but do not prevent operational improvement achievements from AI implementation.

The observed data implies that SMEs discover alternative strategies to address funding problems, including external funding, or implement straightforward yet affordable AI solutions. Operational efficiency improvements could be driven by other unmeasured factors impacting AI adoption rates rather than the elements explored during this study, including leadership commitment, organizational culture, or external technology provider support. The weak correlations between these barriers to adoption and perceived benefits suggest that researchers need more detailed data showing possible differences based on economic sectors and geographical regions.

Results from descriptive statistics data analysis indicate SMEs encounter diverse obstacles in their AI investment decisions because respondents moderately agree that financial constraints (3.17) and data collection problems (3.01) represent primary challenges. Standard deviation rates for these variables highlight wide variation across Small and Medium-size Enterprises. Differences in organizational size, industry sector and stages of AI implementation could explain the variance in the perception and management of AI barriers across surveyed SMEs. Specific solutions that respond to the diverse needs encountered in SMEs prove essential instead of implementing generic one-fits-all approaches.

Even though the studies showed non-significant results, they provide actionable insights for professional practice. Industry policymakers and solution providers must create user-friendly, affordable technologies that scale up easily to address small and medium enterprises' challenges. A combination of education partnerships, tax breaks, training programs, and subsidies will help reduce both skill-based deficits and financial obstacles within SME industries. Organizations should deploy case studies combined with pilot implementation frameworks to build awareness about AI advantages, which will help small and medium enterprises lower their resistance to adopting these technological solutions.

## Conclusion

The research investigated the difficulties and restrictions that Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (SMEs) face when implementing Artificial Intelligence (AI) systems while studying their expected effects on business operation efficiency. The data shows that recognized SME obstacles, including money shortages, costly AI systems, employee shortages, and data access difficulties, fail to diminish businesses' opinion of AI use benefits. Regression and ANOVA data indicate that operational barriers do not directly stop SMEs from identifying beneficial operational changes. Alternative navigation strategies alongside external and internal organizational elements might explain why SMEs face minimal operational impact from their recognized barriers.

During the descriptive analysis of this research plan, SMEs displayed significant variation in their experiences according to industry sector and geographical location. The results indicate that standard approaches to AI implementation will not fulfill SME requirements, so organizations must develop customized roles that meet specific SME needs. This research established the importance of implementing affordable AI solutions alongside training initiatives and economic support for overcoming barriers towards adoption despite insufficient statistical support between operational challenges and improvement patterns.

The study demonstrates SME perseverance in proceeding beyond adoption barriers and emphasizes future research requirements. Research must investigate more aspects, including leadership backing, sector-unique components, and external relationships, to establish a detailed framework that explains how small and medium enterprises can apply AI to generate innovation alongside improvements in growth and productivity within competitive markets.

## References

- Abaddi, S. (2024) 'Factors and moderators influencing artificial intelligence adoption by Jordanian MSMEs', *Management & Sustainability: An Arab Review* [Preprint]. Available at: <https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/MSAR-10-2023-0049/full/html> (Accessed: 28 January 2025).
- Abrokwah-Larbi, K. and Awuku-Larbi, Y. (2024) 'The impact of artificial intelligence in marketing on the performance of business organizations: evidence from SMEs in an emerging economy', *Journal of Entrepreneurship in Emerging Economies*, 16(4), pp. 1090–1117.
- Agrawal, P. et al. (2024) 'Adoption Of Artificial Intelligent Technologies In SMEs Sector', *Journal of Mountain Research*, 19(1). Available at: [https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Parul-Agrawal-5/publication/382114015\\_Adoption\\_Of\\_Artificial\\_Intelligent\\_Technologies\\_In\\_SMEs\\_Sector/links/668e2861c1cf0d77ffc8dc75/Adoption-Of-Artificial-Intelligent-Technologies-In-SMEs-Sector.pdf](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Parul-Agrawal-5/publication/382114015_Adoption_Of_Artificial_Intelligent_Technologies_In_SMEs_Sector/links/668e2861c1cf0d77ffc8dc75/Adoption-Of-Artificial-Intelligent-Technologies-In-SMEs-Sector.pdf) (Accessed: 28 January 2025).
- Ahmed, S. (2024) 'Artificial Intelligence (AI) Technology Adoption in SME', *Artificial Intelligence (AI)*, 17(01). Available at: [http://www.raoaustralia.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/09/AI\\_Dr.-Salahuddin-Ahmed.pdf](http://www.raoaustralia.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/09/AI_Dr.-Salahuddin-Ahmed.pdf) (Accessed: 28 January 2025).
- Aish, A. and Noor, N.A.M. (2025) 'Determining Factors Related to Artificial Intelligence Adoption among Small and Medium Size Businesses: A Systematic Literature Review', *Zhongguo Kuangye Daxue Xuebao*, 30(1), pp. 20–33.

- Aljarboa, S. (2024) 'Factors influencing the adoption of artificial intelligence in e-commerce by small and medium-sized enterprises', *International Journal of Information Management Data Insights*, 4(2), p. 100285.
- Almashawreh, R. *et al.* (2024) 'AI Adoption in Jordanian SMEs: The Influence of Technological and Organizational Orientations', *Global Business Review*, p. 09721509241250273. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1177/09721509241250273>.
- Arroyabe, M.F. *et al.* (2024) 'Analyzing AI adoption in European SMEs: A study of digital capabilities, innovation, and external environment', *Technology in Society*, 79, p. 102733.
- Baabdullah, A.M. *et al.* (2021) 'SMEs and artificial intelligence (AI): Antecedents and consequences of AI-based B2B practices', *Industrial Marketing Management*, 98, pp. 255–270.
- Badghish, S. and Soomro, Y.A. (2024) 'Artificial Intelligence Adoption by SMEs to Achieve Sustainable Business Performance: Application of Technology–Organization–Environment Framework', *Sustainability*, 16(5), p. 1864.
- Bettoni, A. *et al.* (2021) 'An AI adoption model for SMEs: A conceptual framework', *IFAC-Papers OnLine*, 54(1), pp. 702–708.
- Brynjolfsson, E. and McAfee, A. (2017) 'Machine, platform, crowd: Harnessing our digital future', *WW New York: Norton & Company*, 564. Available at: <https://www.mintur.gob.es/Publicaciones/Publicacionesperiodicas/EconomiaIndustrial/RevistaEconomiaIndustrial/409/SEGUNDA%20CR%C3%8DTICA%20DE%20LIBROS.pdf> (Accessed: 28 January 2025).
- Canton, H. (2021) 'Organisation for economic co-operation and development—OECD', in *The Europa Directory of International Organizations 2021*. Routledge, pp. 677–687. Available at: <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9781003179900-102/organisation-economic-co-operation-development%E2%80%94oecd-helen-canton> (Accessed: 9 January 2025).
- Chatterjee, S. *et al.* (2022) 'Digital transformation and entrepreneurship process in SMEs of India: a moderating role of adoption of AI-CRM capability and strategic planning', *Journal of Strategy and Management*, 15(3), pp. 416–433.
- Chatzoglou, P. and Chatzoudes, D. (2016) 'Factors affecting e-business adoption in SMEs: an empirical research', *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, 29(3), pp. 327–358.
- Chaudhuri, R. *et al.* (2022) 'Innovation in SMEs, AI dynamism, and sustainability: The current situation and way forward', *Sustainability*, 14(19), p. 12760.
- Dobre, M. (2022) *An Evaluation of Technological, Organizational and Environmental Determinants of Emerging Technologies Adoption Driving SMEs' Competitive Advantage*. PhD Thesis. University of Bradford. Available at: <https://bradscholars.brad.ac.uk/handle/10454/19845> (Accessed: 28 January 2025).
- Ghobakhloo, M. and Ching, N.T. (2019) 'Adoption of digital technologies of smart manufacturing in SMEs', *Journal of Industrial Information Integration*, 16, p. 100107.
- Hardinata, S., Utami, C.W. and Sumaji, Y.M. (2024) 'The Factors Analysis Shaping SMEs: Adoption Intention of Artificial Intelligence Technology', *Review of Management and Entrepreneurship*, 8(02), pp. 114–127.
- Hussain, A. and Rizwan, R. (2024) 'Strategic AI adoption in SMEs: A Prescriptive Framework'. arXiv. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2408.11825>.
- Ingalagi, S.S., Mutkekar, R.R. and Kulkarni, P.M. (2021) 'Artificial Intelligence (AI) adaptation: Analysis of determinants among Small to Medium-sized Enterprises (SME's)', in *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*. IOP Publishing, p. 012017. Available at: <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1757-899X/1049/1/012017/meta> (Accessed: 24 June 2024).
- Iyelolu, T.V. *et al.* (2024) 'Driving SME innovation with AI solutions: overcoming adoption barriers and future growth opportunities', *International Journal of Science and Technology Research Archive*, 7(1), pp. 036–054.
- Karuppiah, K. *et al.* (2023) 'Evaluation of key factors for industry 4.0 technologies adoption

- in small and medium enterprises (SMEs): An emerging economy context', *Journal of Asia Business Studies*, 17(2), pp. 347–370.
- Khan, A.N., Mehmood, K. and Soomro, M.A. (2024) 'Knowledge Management-Based Artificial Intelligence (AI) Adoption in Construction SMEs: The Moderating Role of Knowledge Integration', *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management* [Preprint]. Available at: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/10539296/> (Accessed: 28 January 2025).
- Kurup, S. and Gupta, V. (2022) 'Factors Influencing the AI Adoption in Organizations', *Metamorphosis: A Journal of Management Research*, 21(2), pp. 129–139. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1177/09726225221124035>.
- Lada, S. *et al.* (2023) 'Determining factors related to artificial intelligence (AI) adoption among Malaysia's small and medium-sized businesses', *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 9(4), p. 100144.
- Omrani, N. *et al.* (2022) 'Drivers of digital transformation in SMEs', *IEEE transactions on engineering management* [Preprint]. Available at: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/9954626/> (Accessed: 28 January 2025).
- Polisetty, A. *et al.* (2024) 'What Determines AI Adoption in Companies? Mixed-Method Evidence', *Journal of Computer Information Systems*, 64(3), pp. 370–387. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1080/08874417.2023.2219668>.
- Rawashdeh, A., Bakhit, M. and Abaalkhail, L. (2023) 'Determinants of artificial intelligence adoption in SMEs: The mediating role of accounting automation', *International Journal of Data and Network Science*, 7(1), pp. 25–34.
- Rojas-Berrio, S. *et al.* (2022) 'Factors influencing 4.0 technology adoption in manufacturing SMEs in an emerging country', *Journal of Small Business Strategy*, 32(3), pp. 67–83.
- Salah, A.H. and Alzghoul, A. (2024) 'Assessing the Moderating Role of Customer Orientation on the Impact of Business Intelligence Tools on Digital Marketing Strategy Optimization', *International Review of Management and Marketing*, 14(3), pp. 18–25.
- Sander, G. and Keller, N.J. (2021) 'McKinsey Gender Parity Report', in *Handbook on Diversity and Inclusion Indices*. Edward Elgar Publishing, pp. 164–175. Available at: <https://www.elgaronline.com/abstract/edcoll/9781788975711/9781788975711.00018.xml> (Accessed: 28 January 2025).
- Schwaeke, J. *et al.* (2024) 'The new normal: The status quo of AI adoption in SMEs', *Journal of Small Business Management*, pp. 1–35. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1080/00472778.2024.2379999>.
- Shahadat, M.M.H. *et al.* (2023) 'Digital Technology Adoption in SMEs: What Technological, Environmental and Organizational Factors Influence in Emerging Countries?', *Global Business Review*, p. 09721509221137199. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1177/09721509221137199>.
- Sharma, G.L. and Gupta, P.K. (2009) 'Activity based costing: Strategic implications for indian companies', *LBS Journal of Management & Research*, 7(1and2), pp. 57–64.
- Thaichon, P. and Quach, S. (2023) *Artificial intelligence for marketing management*. Routledge. Available at: <https://api.taylorfrancis.com/content/books/mono/download?identifierName=doi&identifierValue=10.4324/9781003280392&type=googlepdf> (Accessed: 28 January 2025).
- Vrontis, D., Chaudhuri, R. and Chatterjee, S. (2022) 'Adoption of digital technologies by SMEs for sustainability and value creation: Moderating role of entrepreneurial orientation', *Sustainability*, 14(13), p. 7949.
- Wei, R. and Pardo, C. (2022) 'Artificial intelligence and SMEs: How can B2B SMEs leverage AI platforms to integrate AI technologies?', *Industrial Marketing Management*, 107, pp. 466–483.