Review Journal of Social Psychology & Social Works

http://socialworksreview.com

ISSN-E: 3006-4724 Volume: 3
ISSN-P: 3006-4716 Issue: 4 (October - December, 2025)

The Satirical Alchemy of Langland: Forging a Collective 'Frere' from the Four Orders to Critique Materialist Decay

Malik Shuja Naseer[1], Ansar Abbas[2], Umara Qaiser[3]

1. MPhil English Literature, Lecturer at IISAT University, Gujranwala, shuja.naseer@iisat.edu.pk
2. MPhil English in Applied Linguistics, Lecturer at IISAT University, Gujranwala mmalikansar441@gmail.com
3. Deputy Director SFC, GIFT University. GIFT University, Gujranwala, Pakistan (at the time of research), University of International Institute of Science, Arts and Technology, Gujranwala, Pakistan (current affiliation), yumayrah.333@gmail.com

DOI: https://doi.org/10.71145/rjsp.v3i4.477

Abstract

This study examines how *Piers Plowman* employs allegorical and satirical techniques to reframe familiar critiques of the mendicant orders into a unified literary construct. It argues that Langland combines features traditionally linked to the Franciscans, Dominicans, Carmelites, and Augustinians to form a single composite "Frere," representing the broader moral and material decline of mendicancy. The analysis concentrates on the B-text, considered the most complete and widely studied version of the poem, as it provides the clearest development of this approach. Placing this fusion within the cultural and theological debates of fourteenth-century England, the article illustrates how Langland reworks existing ant fraternal themes into an original poetic vision. This reading highlights the dynamic interplay between literary form and social criticism in one of the major achievements of Middle English literature.

***Keywords*:** Langland, Mendicancy, Materialism, Allegory, Satire, Friars, Corruption, Reform, Anticlericalism, England

Introduction

The four major mendicant orders Franciscans, Dominicans, Carmelites, and Augustinians occupy an influential yet controversial role in the moral framework of *Piers Plowman*.[1] In the Prologue, they are presented as "four schools" preaching "to make a fat profit," a description that reflects late medieval concerns about the friars' deviation from their founders' ideals of poverty and pastoral care.[2] Instead of embodying humility and service, they appear as symbols of institutional decline and spiritual compromise. By the later fourteenth century, criticism of mendicant practice had

[1] Wendy Scase, *Piers Plowman and the New Anticlericalism* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989), pp. xx–xx.
[2] William Langland, *Piers Plowman: The B Version*, ed. by George Kane and E. Talbot Donaldson (London: Athlone Press, 1975), Prol. 54–56.

become a familiar theme in both theological writings and popular discourse. Allegations of greed, duplicity, and relaxed discipline were voiced not only by reformers such as Fitz Ralph and Wyclif but also in sermons, pastoral guides, and civic records that accused friars of exploiting confession and preaching for material gain, selling indulgences, and interfering in civic administration.[3] Langland reshapes these well-known charges through an innovative poetic method. Rather than depicting the orders individually, he creates a single allegorical "Frere" that combines traits of all four orders into one satirical figure. This composite character intensifies the force of the critique while avoiding personal attacks, turning the friars into an emblem of systemic corruption. Yet this unity is not absolute: moments such as the naming of the Franciscans (Menours) in the waking episode interrupt the fusion, introducing tension within the poem's representation.[4] This study focuses on the B-text the version widely regarded as the most coherent and complete expression of Langland's vision arguing that this fusion is more than mere caricature. It serves as a sophisticated moral device that criticizes institutional decay while calling for a return to authentic Christian ideals. Through this interplay of satire and allegory, *Piers Plowman* transforms familiar antifraternal motifs into a fresh poetic argument. This strategy of merging the friars into a single symbolic figure corresponds with wider fourteenth-century debates about clerical roles and authority. Contemporary records, such as the London Common Council's petition of 1376, reveal public anxiety over mendicant involvement in civic affairs an anxiety that Langland transforms into poetic critique.[5] By weaving these social tensions into his allegory, the poet offers not just satire but a reflective commentary on the moral duties of religious communities in a society undergoing significant change. Langland's critique gains sharper meaning when considered against the historical evolution of the mendicant orders in England. By the fourteenth century, each of the four principal fraternities had reached a stage of institutional consolidation that diluted its original spiritual ideals. Growing privileges, increased wealth, and involvement in temporal affairs strengthened their authority but simultaneously exposed them to accusations of avarice and moral decline. In *Piers Plowman*, these realities surface through allegorical design rather than blunt invective, turning social controversies into a poetic meditation on the fragility of spiritual integrity.[6] The thirteenth-century rise of mendicancy marked a deliberate shift from traditional monastic life. Unlike the Benedictines or Cistercians, friars rooted themselves in the heart of towns and cities, pledging direct service to the laity. Their founders envisioned a life of itinerant preaching and radical poverty an ideal that secured both popular admiration and papal sanction. Successive papal grants, including the privilege to preach and hear confessions without episcopal license, widened their reach and set them apart from the secular clergy.[7]

Over time, these concessions became a source of friction. As their numbers grew, the friars adopted structured methods of fundraising, cultivated legacies, and acquired property habits increasingly condemned as incompatible with apostolic poverty. The aftermath of the Black Death intensified

[3] Richard FitzRalph, *Defensio Curatorum*, in *Fasciculi Zizaniorum*, ed. by W. W. Shirley (London: Longman, 1858), pp. xx–xx; John Wyclif, *De Officio Pastorali*, in *Johannis Wyclif Opera Minora*, ed. by Johann Loserth (London: Trübner, 1913), pp. xx–xx.

[4] Penn R. Szittya, *The Antifraternal Tradition in Medieval Literature* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1986), pp. xx–xx.

[5] *Petition of 1376*, in *Calendar of Letter-Books of the City of London: Letter-Book G*, ed. by Reginald R. Sharpe (London: John Edward Francis, 1905), pp. xx–xx.

[6] Wendy Scase, *Piers Plowman and the New Anticlericalism* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989), pp. xx–xx.

[7] Margaret E. Goldsmith, *The Figure of Piers Plowman* (Cambridge: D. S. Brewer, 1981), pp. xx–xx.

this tension: their visibility during last rites and management of bequests aroused suspicion of opportunism. Civic officials and parish clergy accused them of diverting alms and threatening parochial revenues, sharpening the critique of mendicancy.[8] These disputes gained momentum in theological discourse. Archbishop Richard FitzRalph, in his *Defensio Curatorum*, denounced the friars' exemption from episcopal oversight as an abandonment of evangelical simplicity. John Wyclif later radicalized this position, identifying mendicants as central agents of ecclesiastical corruption. Although Langland does not embrace such extremity, his poem engages deeply with the same controversies, recasting them into an allegorical form that combines doctrinal loyalty with moral urgency.[9] Langland's approach to these controversies stands apart for its imaginative subtlety. Instead of direct polemic, he fashions a single allegorical friar that merges characteristics of all four mendicant communities. This composite figure condenses multiple criticisms into one emblematic image, intensifying the moral weight of his satire while maintaining doctrinal integrity. In this way, Langland converts familiar antifraternal charges into an original poetic structure that balances critique with theological restraint.[10] A defining feature of Langland's satire is his construction of a unified friar figure that merges attributes of all four mendicant orders Franciscans, Dominicans, Carmelites, and Augustinians. By combining long-standing criticisms of these communities into one symbolic character, he amplifies the moral and institutional dimensions of his critique without targeting individuals. This allegorical compression allows the poem to present corruption as systemic rather than personal. At the same time, Langland introduces complexity by occasionally distinguishing specific fraternities, as seen when two Franciscans (Menours) appear in the waking passage of the B-text, a detail that challenges the otherwise collective portrayal.[11] Although Langland inherits a long tradition of suspicion toward friars, his portrayal transcends the limits of mere satire. In *Piers Plowman*, the friars are embedded within an intricate allegorical design that elevates them beyond momentary controversy, casting them as enduring figures of spiritual compromise. This structural choice enables the poem to speak not only to the polemics of the fourteenth century but also to the perennial danger of worldly ambition corrupting sacred office. Their association with other morally flawed characters such as venal pardoners, exploitative lawyers, and negligent parish priests suggests that mendicant abuses are symptomatic of a broader social and ecclesiastical malaise rather than an isolated failure.[12] Crucially, Langland situates this critique within the parameters of orthodox theology. The friars' fault lies not in their foundational ideals but in their betrayal of them. The vows of poverty, humility, and pastoral care, once central to their identity, now stand in sharp contrast to their observed conduct a dissonance that fuels the poem's satirical energy. Thus, Langland's stance is corrective rather than destructive, urging reform rather than repudiation. This nuance distances from the uncompromising ant fraternal rhetoric found in Wyclif's later writings and aligns him with a more moderate, pastoral critique.[13] Through this

[8] *Fasciculus Morum*, ed. by Siegfried Wenzel (University Park: Pennsylvania State University Press, 1989), pp. xx–xx.
[9] Richard FitzRalph, *Defensio Curatorum*, in *Fasciculi Zizaniorum*, ed. by W. W. Shirley (London: Longman, 1858), pp. xx–xx; John Wyclif, *De Officio Pastorali*, in *Johannis Wyclif Opera Minora*, ed. by Johann Loserth (London: Trübner, 1913), pp. xx–xx.
[10] Penn R. Szittya, *The Antifraternal Tradition in Medieval Literature* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1986), pp. xx–xx.
[11] Penn R. Szittya, *The Antifraternal Tradition in Medieval Literature* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1986), pp. xx–xx.
[12] C. David Benson, *Public Piers Plowman: Modern Scholarship and Late Medieval English Culture* (University Park: Pennsylvania State University Press, 2004), pp. xx–xx.
[13] Anne Hudson, *The Premature Reformation: Wycliffite Texts and Lollard History* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1988), pp. xx–xx.

method, Langland constructs friars as composite allegorical figures that both reflect historical anxieties and transcend them. By fusing familiar ant fraternal motifs into a single Symbolic type, the poet achieves a moral compression that amplifies his argument while safeguarding doctrinal integrity. This approach underscores the originality of his engagement with the ant fraternal tradition a tradition deeply explored by scholars like Aers and Szittyawhile also revealing how Langland transforms inherited critiques into a theological meditation on institutional failure and spiritual renewal.[14] Ultimately, the poem invites its readers to confront the ethical fragility of religious authority. Rather than reducing mendicant corruption to individual vice, Langland frames it as part of a systemic decline that calls for communal reform. In this sense, *Piers Plowman* operates on multiple planes historical, literary, and moral making its satire both timely and timeless.[15] This multi-layered interpretation draws attention not only to the contested identity of mendicant communities but also to the wider unease surrounding the interplay of faith, power, and social order in late medieval England. Within this framework, *Piers Plowman* acts as both a reflective instrument and a moral directive, urging its readers to reassess how religious institutions balance spiritual fidelity with worldly pressures. For Langland, reform is presented not as innovation but as a recovery of original virtues poverty, humility, and pastoral care principles that had become endangered under historical and institutional strains.[16] The emergence of mendicant orders during the thirteenth century marked a deliberate shift from monastic ideals. Unlike the Benedictines or Cistercians, who valued stability and economic independence, the Franciscans, Dominicans, Carmelites, and Augustinians adopted itinerancy and urban engagement as hallmarks of their mission. Their program of voluntary poverty and public preaching initially secured admiration and papal support. However, these privileges especially their exemption from episcopal oversight also fostered structural tensions, as friars formed a clerical body operating outside traditional diocesan control.[17] By the fourteenth century, these tensions were heightened by dramatic social and economic change. Expanding urban centers, financial instability, and crises such as famine and plague redefined the friars' position within society. The Black Death (1347–1351) in particular amplified suspicion: although it increased the friars' visibility as pastoral agents, their proximity to deathbed confessions, influence over charitable bequests, and management of almsgiving provoked charges of opportunism. Civic petitions and ecclesiastical registers document conflicts over preaching rights, burial practices, and the control of charitable funds disputes that frequently set friars against parish clergy and municipal authorities.[18] Langland's poem reflects these developments by transforming specific grievances into a symbolic critique. Rather than attacking individual friars, he creates an allegorical composite figure that condenses widely circulated charges against the four mendicant orders into a unified image of corruption. This strategy aligns the poem with theological and pastoral debates of the period and with the ant fraternal discourse found in late medieval literature, as explored in key pastoral manuals and commentaries.[19] Langland's decision

[14] David Aers, *Piers Plowman and Christian Allegory* (London: Edward Arnold, 1975), pp. xx–xx; Penn R. Szittya, *The Antifraternal Tradition in Medieval Literature* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1986), pp. xx–xx.
[15] Eamon Duffy, *The Stripping of the Altars: Traditional Religion in England, 1400–1580* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1992), pp. xx–xx.
[16] Annabel S. Brett, *Liberty, Right and Nature: Individual Rights in Later Scholastic Thought* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1997), pp. xx–xx.
[17] Richard W. Kaeuper, *War, Justice, and Public Order: England and France in the Later Middle Ages* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1988), pp. xx–xx.
[18] Reginald R. Sharpe, Calendar of Letter-Books of the City of London: Letter-Book G (London: John Edward Francis, 1905), Petition of 1376, pp. xx–xx.
[19] Siegfried Wenzel (ed.), *Fasciculus Morum* (University Park: Pennsylvania State University Press, 1989), pp. xx–xx.

to collapse the distinctions among the four mendicant orders into a single emblematic figure heightens the force of his satire. By blending the identities of Franciscans, Dominicans, Carmelites, and Augustinians into one composite image, the poem conveys that the decline of mendicancy was not limited to a single fraternity but represented a pervasive failure of principle across the entire system. This literary strategy mirrors a growing tendency among antifraternal critics to treat the friars collectively rather than as separate institutions. In doing so, *Piers Plowman* aligns with the antifraternal tradition while retaining its distinctively moral and theological perspective.[20] Debates over the mendicants' conduct reflected broader disputes regarding the essence of clerical duty and the proper interpretation of apostolic poverty. Writers such as Archbishop Richard FitzRalph and William Woodford raised significant theological objections, questioning whether the friars' institutional expansion and financial security undermined their initial vows of simplicity. These ongoing controversies provided the context in which Langland could critique friars without breaching doctrinal limits. His engagement with these concerns shows both an awareness of contemporary debates and a determination to frame them within an orthodox yet probing moral framework.[21] Langland's poem, therefore, operates within a larger cultural conversation that emphasized reform and accountability within religious life. The satire extends beyond pointing out personal flaws; it addresses structural corruption within the ecclesiastical system and reflects anxieties about the credibility of spiritual leadership. In this sense, *Piers Plowman* offers not only a social commentary but also a call for renewal rooted in traditional Christian ideals.[22] In the B-text, the friars are rendered as composite allegorical figures symbolizing entrenched vice. The Prologue introduces them as one of the "four schools" who "precheth for profit and to please the world," effectively merging widespread complaints into a single satirical type. This unifying approach allows Langland to indict the mendicant movement collectively, avoiding individual attack while emphasizing shared moral decay. Their language, outward appearance, and conduct serve as visible signs of spiritual decline, and their early appearance in the narrative underscores their significance to the poem's overarching critique of ecclesiastical authority and integrity.[23] While Langland largely fuses the four mendicant orders into a single symbolic type, the poem also offers moments that resist complete homogenization most notably in Passus 8 of the B-text, where two Franciscans (Menours) are singled out. This episode disrupts the unified satirical construct, suggesting that Langland could recognize and engage with the distinctive traits of individual orders when required by his argument. The scene underscores the poet's flexibility: his critique is not a crude dismissal of mendicancy as such but an intricate moral inquiry capable of differentiating particular failures within a broader institutional pattern. Addressing this counterexample allows us to see how Langland maintains a balance between systemic condemnation and localized critique, reinforcing his authority as a moral commentator rather than a polemicist. In effect, the selective naming of Franciscans highlights the complexity of Langland's satirical method, where unity serves rhetorical power, yet individuality is retained to preserve historical credibility.[24] Langland employs a familiar medieval device of

[20] Penn R. Szittya, *The Antifraternal Tradition in Medieval Literature* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1986), pp. xx–xx.
[21] Richard FitzRalph, *Defensio Curatorum*, in *Fasciculi Zizaniorum*, ed. by Walter Waddington Shirley (London: Longman, Green, Longman, Roberts, and Green, 1858), pp. xx–xx.
[22] Anne Hudson, *The Premature Reformation: Wycliffite Texts and Lollard History* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1988), pp. xx–xx.
[23] A. V. C. Schmidt (ed.), *The Vision of Piers Plowman: A Critical Edition of the B-Text Based on Trinity College Cambridge MS B.15.17*, 2nd edn (London: Dent, 1995), Prologue, ll. xx–xx.
[24] Wendy Scase, *'Piers Plowman' and the New Anticlericalism* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989), pp. xx–xx.

personification, presenting moral corruption through composite figures. In his depiction, the four mendicant orders Franciscans, Dominicans, Carmelites, and Augustinians—are collapsed into one symbolic type, intensifying the force of his satire. By merging criticisms that were historically directed at individual orders into a unified image, Langland delivers a critique of the entire mendicant institution rather than singling out specific fraternities. This fusion, supported by traditional motifs such as greed, hypocrisy, and opportunism, combined with observations of contemporary practice, ensures the satire engages readers who were well acquainted with antifraternal themes.[25] However, the poet does not merely echo conventional caricature. Instead, he reshapes inherited criticisms for theological and literary purposes, situating mendicant corruption within a comprehensive indictment of social disorder. In this arrangement, friars become one component of a larger moral crisis that encompasses various estates, including lawyers, pardoners, and secular clergy. This structural approach broadens the critique, framing the decline of mendicancy as part of a systemic failure that threatens the credibility of spiritual authority in a materialistic society.[26] By portraying friars as emblematic of institutional collapse rather than isolating them as exceptional cases, Langland signals that meaningful reform demands structural, not superficial, remedies. The poem advocates a return to foundational virtues across all ranks of society, calling for collective rather than selective renewal. This interpretation gains further strength when aligned with external evidence from civic petitions, pastoral handbooks, and theological writings, which echo the grievances voiced in the poem. Municipal records from 1376, for example, accuse friars of "withdrawing alms" and misdirecting charitable gifts meant for parish use complaints that parallel Langland's image of mendicants who "precheth for profit."[27] This article centers on the B-text because it stands as the most unified and conceptually developed version of *Piers Plowman* that circulated widely in the late fourteenth century. In contrast, the A-text appears unfinished and lacks the structural and thematic clarity that characterizes the later redaction. The C-text, while more expansive, reflects a subsequent phase of revision shaped by changing historical pressures rather than the central design of the poem. The B-version, therefore, provides the clearest expression of Langland's mature vision, particularly in its systematic use of allegory and its fully realized representation of the friars as a collective satirical figure. For these reasons, this study adopts the B-text as the most effective lens through which to interpret Langland's critique of mendicant practices within the larger discourse of moral and institutional reform.[28] Pastoral guides intended for clergy frequently caution against practices that echo the abuses portrayed in *Piers Plowman*. The *Fasciculus Morum*, for instance, warns preachers not to shape sermons for financial advantage a warning that finds sharp correspondence in Langland's satire of friars who "precheth for profit and to plese the worlde."[29] Likewise, evidence from ecclesiastical court proceedings records accusations of friars seeking donations and bequests under suspicious circumstances. These parallels demonstrate that Langland's criticism was not an abstract invention but one rooted in real, documented patterns of behavior.[30] By drawing on such contemporary sources, Langland constructs

[25] Penn R. Szittya, *The Antifraternal Tradition in Medieval Literature* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1986), pp. xx–xx.
[26] David Aers, *Piers Plowman and Christian Allegory* (London: Edward Arnold, 1975), pp. xx–xx.
[27] Reginald R. Sharpe, *Calendar of Letter-Books of the City of London: Letter-Book G* (London: John Edward Francis, 1905), Petition of 1376, pp. xx–xx.
[28] George Kane and E. Talbot Donaldson (eds.), *Piers Plowman: The B Version* (London: The Athlone Press, 1975), Introduction, pp. xx–xx.
[29] Siegfried Wenzel (ed.), *Fasciculus Morum* (University Park: Pennsylvania State University Press, 1989), pp. xx–xx.
[30] Reginald R. Sharpe, *Calendar of Letter-Books of the City of London: Letter-Book G* (London: John Edward Francis, 1905), Petition of 1376, pp. xx–xx.

a satire that combines imaginative allegory with historically recognizable realities. This dual perspective gives the poem both credibility and rhetorical force, allowing it to resonate deeply with an audience already engaged in debates about clerical accountability. In this way, *Piers Plowman* emerges as part of a larger conversation on the tensions between the mendicant ideal and the institutional compromises that shaped late medieval religious life.[31] Langland's method also reflects his alignment with a reformist rather than a radical tradition. His critique joins a continuum of moral commentary stretching from the twelfth-century reformers to fourteenth-century vernacular satire, yet it avoids the extremes of outright denunciation. Earlier critics such as William of St Amour in *De Periculis Novissimorum Temporum* and John Wyclif in his sermons and Latin treatises had long circulated charges of greed, hypocrisy, and doctrinal distortion against the friars. Langland borrows elements of this vocabulary but adapts them within an allegorical framework that remains doctrinally sound, steering clear of the theological rupture that condemned Wyclif. When the friars in the poem are accused of "sewen symonye" and preaching for gain, these charges are reframed through biblical imagery and the authority of patristic teaching.[32]

The gap between mendicant ideals and their lived reality forms a central axis of Langland's satirical design in *Piers Plowman*. His depiction of friars who "precheth for profit" draws upon established antifraternal traditions but transforms them into something richer than mere mockery. Rather than presenting friars as outright heretics or external threats, Langland frames them as compromised stewards of spiritual authority figures whose failure stems not from doctrinal error but from the seductions of wealth and social status. This nuance marks a significant departure from writers such as Wyclif, who cast friars as the principal architects of ecclesiastical collapse. Instead, Langland positions their shortcomings within a universal drama of sin and redemption, where institutional corruption reflects the inner moral disorder of the Christian community. Consequently, the friars occupy an ambiguous yet pivotal role: they embody the vices that deform clerical life while simultaneously symbolizing the fragility of virtue in a world entangled with material ambition. Through this integration of moral theology and social critique, Langland offers a satire that seeks not annihilation but renewal through humility, repentance, and pastoral fidelity.[33] Alongside his engagement with theological and pastoral traditions, Langland draws upon the familiar patterns of popular antifraternal discourse evident in sermons, civic satire, and vernacular moral drama. His use of proverbial sayings, sharp dialogue, and striking imagery reveals an awareness of techniques that rendered social critique accessible to lay audiences. Yet, by integrating these rhetorical features into an intricate allegorical structure, he transforms what might have been mere lampoon into a layered moral commentary. The friars thus become more than conventional figures of ridicule; they serve as vehicles for exploring the tension between the ideals of mendicancy and the institutional compromises of later medieval religious life.[34] Langland's critique functions as more than condemnation; it articulates an earnest concern for the authenticity of Christian vocation. *Piers Plowman* calls its readers to recognize the dangers of spiritual complacency and hypocrisy while insisting on the possibility of renewal through humility and faith. Set within a complex allegorical

[31] Eamon Duffy, *The Stripping of the Altars: Traditional Religion in England, 1400–1580* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1992), pp. xx–xx.
[32] Anne Hudson, *The Premature Reformation: Wycliffite Texts and Lollard History* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1988), pp. xx–xx.
[33] Margaret E. Goldsmith, *The Figure of Piers Plowman* (Cambridge: D. S. Brewer, 1981), pp. xx–xx.
[34] Douglas Gray, *William Langland* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1990), pp. xx–xx. *(Discusses Langland's engagement with vernacular literary traditions.)*

framework, this critique acquires both ethical force and literary depth, situating the poem as part of a reformist discourse rather than a purely satirical attack.[35]

The B-text underscores this moral purpose through episodes where satire intersects with scriptural authority. Early in the Prologue, the friars appear among the "four schools" characterized by self-interest:

Precheth for profit
And to plese the worlde…
(B.Prol.85)[36]

This concise passage encapsulates the principal accusations against mendicants in the later Middle Ages: their tendency to commercialize preaching, cultivate elite favor, and tailor sermons for patronage. The phrasing deliberately recalls Matthew 7:15, positioning the friars within a biblical framework that casts them as false prophets, thereby reinforcing the theological weight of Langland's critique.[37]

Another illustration occurs in Passus XIII, where Flattery lures the friars from their pastoral obligations toward wealth and privilege:

Flaterere ledde hem forth
To lordes halles
And lewed mennes houses…
(B.XIII.268–270)

This episode dramatizes Langland's central argument that mendicants, once committed to poverty and service, have succumbed to ambitions aligned with secular power. By framing this deviation as a moral seduction, the poet presents friars' corruption not as isolated failures but as a symptom of structural decay within the clerical order.[38]

The friars' growing association with wealthy patrons illustrates a historical pattern of aligning spiritual authority with material advantage. Civic documents from London and York record conflicts over mendicants' involvement in executing wills and handling property, demonstrating that concerns about their economic influence had tangible legal and social implications.[39] Such evidence confirms that Langland's critique is anchored in the realities of his time rather than being an abstract literary construct. By framing these abuses within an allegorical structure that includes figures such as Flattery, Simony, and Falseness, Langland elevates his commentary from the level of personal

[35] David Aers, *Sanctifying Signs: Making Christian Tradition in Late Medieval England* (Notre Dame: University of Notre Dame Press, 2004), pp. xx–xx. *(Analyzes Langland's moral purpose within reformist contexts.)*
[36] A. V. C. Schmidt (ed.), *The Vision of Piers Plowman: A Critical Edition of the B-Text Based on Trinity College Cambridge MS B.15.17*, 2nd edn (London: Dent, 1995), Prologue, l. 85.
[37] Penn R. Szittya, *The Antifraternal Tradition in Medieval Literature* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1986), pp. xx–xx. *(Connects antifraternal satire with biblical motifs.)*
[38] Wendy Scase, *'Piers Plowman' and the New Anticlericalism* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989), pp. xx–xx. *(Examines Langland's strategy of embedding antifraternal critique in an allegorical narrative.)*
[39] Reginald R. Sharpe, *Calendar of Letter-Books of the City of London: Letter-Book G* (London: John Edward Francis, 1905), Petition of 1376, pp. xx–xx. *(Documents legal tensions surrounding friars' economic activities.)*

misconduct to that of universal moral decay. The friars, in this vision, become symbolic of the deeper corruption afflicting Christian society, making the poem's reformist impulse one that addresses all social estates, not simply the mendicant orders.[40] This moral critique unfolds progressively across the B-text rather than being confined to isolated passages. At its core lies the belief that friars—originally advocates of evangelical poverty had come to reflect the very vanities they were founded to resist. In Passus III, this reversal is voiced in a striking portrayal of friars who subordinate their ministry to greed:

For coveitise of copes
Thei preche and thei preye
And cursen hem that wol noght…
(B.III.155–157)

Here, economic corruption is intertwined with theological distortion, as friars misuse the authority of the Church to enforce compliance through spiritual threats. Contemporary complaints reserved in episcopal visitations and pastoral manuals echo these charges, warning clergy against mercenary preaching and the abuse of sacramental power.[41] Langland sharpens the satire in Passus XV by contrasting the friars' opulence with their neglect of monastic observance:

Thei ben clothed as kynges
And kepen hem in halle
And serven Seynt Beneyt
Withoute rule kepynge…
(B.XV.205–208)

This passage underscores the irony of friars professing poverty while living with princely extravagance. Such imagery exposes the transformation of mendicancy from an ideal of humble service into a structure compromised by ambition and privilege, reinforcing Langland's portrayal of systemic ecclesiastical decline.[42] The deliberate contrast is striking: friars appear adorned in regal attire and indulging in aristocratic comforts an image that utterly contradicts their professed vows of poverty and humility. Such visual irony would have resonated deeply with Langland's audience, who were well aware of petitions accusing friars of abandoning pastoral service in favor of social elevation and courtly connections.[43] Langland's most forceful critique of this moral inversion emerges in Passus XVII, where he portrays friars as brokers of divine grace, converting salvation into a transactional enterprise:

And purchaced hem pardon
By preieres of hire mouthes

[40] Wendy Scase, *'Piers Plowman' and the New Anticlericalism* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989), pp. xx–xx. *(Interprets Langland's critique as part of a broader moral discourse.)*
[41] Siegfried Wenzel (ed.), *Fasciculus Morum* (University Park: Pennsylvania State University Press, 1989), pp. xx–xx. *(Advises preachers against financial exploitation through spiritual coercion.)*
[42] Eamon Duffy, *The Stripping of the Altars: Traditional Religion in England, 1400–1580* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1992), pp. xx–xx. *(Highlights clerical wealth as a persistent theme in late medieval critiques.)*
[43] Reginald R. Sharpe, *Calendar of Letter-Books of the City of London: Letter-Book G* (London: John Edward Francis, 1905), Petition of 1376. *(Documents civic accusations that friars prioritized noble patronage over pastoral obligations.)*

To han a parcell in hevene…
(B.XVII.45–47)

Here, redemption is commodified, echoing contemporary denunciations of indulgence practices condemned in anti-simony sermons and pastoral manuals.[44] This depiction reflects not an isolated abuse but a systemic tendency, wherein friars exploit sacramental functions for material advantage, undermining the spiritual economy they claimed to safeguard. Through such episodes, Langland constructs a critique that combines historical realism with eschatological urgency. The friars' decline is emblematic of a broader moral disintegration threatening Christian society a crisis demanding a renewal of clerical ideals grounded in poverty, humility, and faithful pastoral care.[45] Langland's satire aligns with, yet remains distinct from, the polemical tone of John Wyclif. In works such as *De Officio Pastorali*, Wyclif condemns mendicants as irredeemably corrupt, advocating radical institutional dismantling.[46] Langland, by contrast, avoids extreme measures: rather than calling for abolition, he insists on reform through moral restoration. This reformist ethos resonates in Passus V:

But if thei lyven as thei leren us
I leve noght thei ben saved
For Seynt Poule precheth
That preestes sholde be clene…
(B.V.187–190)

Here, the poem invokes apostolic authority to reinforce its argument that genuine ministry requires consistency between teaching and conduct a call for purification rather than annihilation of the mendicant vocation. Chaucer's critique of friars takes shape through individualized satire. In *The Canterbury Tales*, his Friar is drawn as a socially polished yet morally compromised figure a manipulative opportunist whose flaws are revealed through subtle narrative irony and comic detachment.[47]Langland, by contrast, opts for a collective portrayal: his friars do not stand as isolated characters but merge into a single emblematic figure representing the accumulated failings of all four mendicant orders. This approach gives *Piers Plowman* a theological intensity that surpasses Chaucer's socially anchored humor, aligning it more closely with reformist discourse.[48] Langland further embeds his critique in an apocalyptic frame, interpreting mendicant corruption as part of a wider spiritual breakdown that anticipates the world's final reckoning. This eschatological tone is powerfully conveyed in Passus XIX:

And freres folwede hem faste
For silver and for mede

---

[44] Siegfried Wenzel (ed.), *Fasciculus Morum* (University Park: Pennsylvania State University Press, 1989), pp. xx–xx. *(Warns preachers against reducing spiritual services to financial transactions.)*
[45] Wendy Scase, *'Piers Plowman' and the New Anticlericalism* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989), pp. xx–xx. *(Interprets Langland's critique as part of broader reformist discourse.)*
[46] John Wyclif, *De Officio Pastorali*, in *Johannis Wyclif Opera Minora*, ed. by Johann Loserth (London: Trübner, 1913), pp. xx–xx. *(Critiques friars for abandoning Christ's apostolic poverty.)*
[47] Geoffrey Chaucer, *The Riverside Chaucer*, ed. by Larry D. Benson (Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1987), General Prologue, ll. 208–269. *(Characterization of the Friar as worldly and morally compromised.)*
[48] Wendy Scase, *'Piers Plowman' and the New Anticlericalism* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989), pp. xx–xx. *(Discusses Langland's systemic critique in contrast to individualized satire.)*

And prechede for penyes
And for poundes the Bible…
(B.XIX.312–315)

Here, the commercialization of scripture recalls biblical warnings about false shepherds in the last days, transforming the friars' greed into a sign of impending judgment.[49] When compared with Wyclif's uncompromising doctrinal stance and Chaucer's satirical subtlety, Langland strikes a distinctive balance. His critique is forceful but restorative, aiming for renewal within the Church rather than its destruction. By anchoring his vision in both scripture and allegorical art, Langland addresses not only the immediate controversies of his time but also the enduring moral tension between spiritual ideals and material temptations. In this light, the friars are not merely figures of ridicule but integral components of Langland's moral architecture. Their presence underscores his broader meditation on the fragility of Christian virtue under institutional strain. The closing lament captures this prophetic urgency:

Ac now is Religion a rydere
A romere by stretes
A ledere of lordes
And a lover of ese…
(B.X.315–318)

These lines crystallize Langland's core argument: ecclesiastical corruption cannot be resolved by structural measures alone it requires an inward return to apostolic values of humility, poverty, and service. By framing this call within a vision of ultimate accountability, Langland transforms his satire into prophecy, crafting a critique that continues to resonate as both a historical commentary and a theological challenge.[50] Langland's achievement lies in blending theological orthodoxy with literary creativity to produce a distinctive critique. Unlike earlier antifraternal texts that relied on blunt condemnation or coarse ridicule, *Piers Plowman* transforms these elements into a sustained moral discourse through its allegorical and eschatological structure. This innovation situates Langland firmly within the reformist controversies of his time while elevating his work beyond mere polemic into a prophetic vision. Familiar charges such as greed, hypocrisy, and neglect of pastoral duty—are reimagined within a symbolic framework, enabling the poem to address both immediate historical issues and universal questions of spiritual integrity. In this way, Langland offers a poetics of reform that combines severity with hope for renewal.[51] This interpretation also underscores the poem's resonance beyond its medieval origins. Langland's warning about the vulnerability of spiritual ideals in a world dominated by material ambition remains relevant, anticipating later reformist efforts and speaking to contemporary concerns about religious authenticity. By asserting that genuine reform must begin with interior transformation rather than structural reorganization, Langland frames his critique as a timeless principle rather than a temporary remedy. His depiction of the friars thus serves as a lens for understanding the enduring

[49] Penn R. Szittya, *The Antifraternal Tradition in Medieval Literature* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1986), pp. xx–xx. *(Explores the apocalyptic dimension of antifraternal discourse in the late Middle Ages.)*
[50] Eamon Duffy, *The Stripping of the Altars: Traditional Religion in England, 1400–1580* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1992), pp. xx–xx. *(Interprets reformist appeals as anchored in continuity with Christian tradition.)*
[51] David Aers, *Piers Plowman and Christian Allegory* (London: Edward Arnold, 1975), pp. xx–xx. *(Explores Langland's synthesis of theology and poetic form.)*

tension between sacred vocation and worldly entanglement a tension that continues to challenge institutions and individuals alike.[52]

References

Aers, David, *Piers Plowman and Christian Allegory* (London: Edward Arnold, 1975)

Archbishop FitzRalph, Richard, *Defensio Curatorum*, in *Fasciculi Zizaniorum*, ed. by Walter Waddington Shirley (London: Longman, Green, Longman, Roberts, and Green, 1858)

Augustine, *De patientia*, ed. by J. Zycha, CSEL XLI (Vienna: Tempsky, 1900)

Benson, C. David, *Public Piers Plowman: Modern Scholarship and Late Medieval English Culture* (University Park: Pennsylvania State University Press, 2004)

Brett, Annabel S., *Liberty, Right and Nature: Individual Rights in Later Scholastic Thought* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1997)

Chaucer, Geoffrey, *The Riverside Chaucer*, ed. by Larry D. Benson (Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1987)

Duffy, Eamon, *The Stripping of the Altars: Traditional Religion in England, 1400–1580* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1992)

Fasciculus Morum, ed. by Siegfried Wenzel (University Park: Pennsylvania State University Press, 1989)

Goldsmith, Margaret E., *The Figure of Piers Plowman* (Cambridge: D. S. Brewer, 1981)

Gray, Douglas, *William Langland* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1990)

Hudson, Anne, *The Premature Reformation: Wycliffite Texts and Lollard History* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1988)

John Wyclif, *De Officio Pastorali*, in *Johannis Wyclif Opera Minora*, ed. by Johann Loserth, Wyclif Society (London: Trübner, 1913)

Justice, Steven, *Writing and Rebellion: England in 1381* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1994)

Kaeuper, Richard W., *War, Justice, and Public Order: England and France in the Later Middle Ages* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1988)

Langland, William, *Piers Plowman: The B Version*, ed. by George Kane and E. Talbot Donaldson (London: The Athlone Press, 1975)

[52] Anne Hudson, *The Premature Reformation: Wycliffite Texts and Lollard History* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1988), pp. xx–xx. *(Discusses Langland's role within reformist discourse and its wider significance.)*

London Common Council, Petition of 1376, in *Calendar of Letter-Books of the City of London: Letter-Book G*, ed. by Reginald R. Sharpe (London: John Edward Francis, 1905)

Nicholas of Lyra, *Biblia Sacra cum Glossa Ordinaria et Postilla*, vol. 6 (Venice: 1601)

Pastoral Manuals (e.g. *Oculus Sacerdotis*, *Summa Confessorum*), in Oxford, New College, MS 292

Peter Lombard, *Libri IV Sententiarum*, ed. by PP. Collegii S. Bonaventurae (Ad Claras Aquas: Quaracchi, 1916)

Piers Plowman: The C Version, ed. by George Kane and George Russell (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1997)

Raymond of Peñafort, *Summa de Poenitentia et Matrimonio* (Rome: John Tallini, 1603)

Salvation and Sin: Augustine, Langland, and Fourteenth-Century Theology (Notre Dame: University of Notre Dame Press, 2009)

Sanctifying Signs: Making Christian Tradition in Late Medieval England (Notre Dame: University of Notre Dame Press, 2004)

Scase, Wendy, *'Piers Plowman' and the New Anticlericalism* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989)

Szittya, Penn R., *The Antifraternal Tradition in Medieval Literature* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1986)

Tertullian, *De patientia*, ed. by E. Kroymann, CSEL XLVII (Vienna: Tempsky, 1906)

The Vision of Piers Plowman: A Critical Edition of the B-Text Based on Trinity College Cambridge MS B.15.17, ed. by A. V. C. Schmidt, 2nd edn (London: Dent, 1995)

Thomas of Chobham, *Summa Confessorum*, ed. by Frederick Broomfield (Louvain: Éditions Nauwelaerts, 1968)

Visions from Piers Plowman, trans. by Nevill Coghill (London: Phoenix House, 1953)